

Reprinted March 16, 2007

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL No. 502

DIGEST OF SB 502 (Updated March 15, 2007 2:11 pm - DI 92)

Citations Affected: IC 6-2.5; noncode.

Synopsis: Taxation. Provides various sales and use tax definitions, changes, and additions to conform with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement. Specifies that a person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when the person: (1) leases an aircraft to another person; and (2) provides flight instruction services to the lessee during the term of the lease. Provides that the amount of gross retail income attributable to the retail transaction is the amount charged by the lessor for the lease of the aircraft used in conjunction with the flight instruction services provided to the lessee. Repeals an obsolete provision concerning software that may be used concurrently in more than one jurisdiction. Requires the governor and the commissioner of the department of state revenue to investigate the steps necessary for Indiana to become a member of the multistate tax commission and the multistate tax compact.

Effective: Upon passage; July 1, 2007; January 1, 2008.

Kenley, Dillon

(HOUSE SPONSORS — KUZMAN, ESPICH)

January 18, 2007, read first time and referred to Committee on Tax and Fiscal Policy. January 29, 2007, amended, reported favorably — Do Pass. February 1, 2007, read second time, ordered engrossed. Engrossed. February 6, 2007, read third time, passed. Yeas 47, nays 0.

HOUSE ACTION

February 26, 2007, read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means. March 12, 2007, amended, reported — Do Pass. March 15, 2007, read second time, amended, ordered engrossed.



First Regular Session 115th General Assembly (2007)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2006 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL No. 502

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning taxation and to make an appropriation.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

| nrovisi | on of | tele | comm | uni | ration | sers | vices | inclu | dina tl | he follov | wina. |
|---------|-------|------|------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| means | servi | ices | that | are | assoc | iate | d wi | th or | incid | ental to | the |
| [EFFE | CTIVI | E JA | NUA | RY : | 1, 2008 | 3]: S | ec. 1 | 1.3. " <i>A</i> | Ancilla | ry serv | ices" |
| CODE | AS | A | NEW | S | ECTIO | N | TO | REAL |) AS | FOLL | OWS |
| SEC | CTION | 1. | IC 6 | -2.5- | 1-11.3 | IS | ADD | DED T | O TH | E INDI | ANA |

- (1) Detailed telecommunications billing.
 - (2) Directory assistance.
 - (3) Vertical services.
 - (4) Voice mail services.

SECTION 2. IC 6-2.5-1-20.3 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A **NEW** SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 20.3. "Intrastate telecommunications service" means a telecommunications service that originates in a particular state, territory, or possession of the United States and terminates in that same state, territory, or possession.

17 SECTION 3. IC 6-2.5-1-22.3 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

C





y

| 1 | CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS |
|-----|--|
| 2 | [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 22.3. "Prepaid calling |
| 3 | service" has the meaning set forth in IC 6-2.5-12-11. |
| 4 | SECTION 4. IC 6-2.5-1-22.4 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA |
| 5 | CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS |
| 6 | [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 22.4. "Prepaid wireless |
| 7 | calling service" means a telecommunications service that: |
| 8 | (1) provides the right to use mobile wireless service as well as |
| 9 | other non-telecommunications services, including: |
| 0 | (A) the download of digital products delivered |
| 1 | electronically; and |
| 2 | (B) content and ancillary services; |
| 3 | (2) must be paid for in advance; and |
| 4 | (3) is sold in predetermined units or dollars of which the |
| 5 | number declines with use in a known amount. |
| .6 | SECTION 5. IC 6-2.5-1-27.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA |
| 7 | CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS |
| 8 | [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 27.5. (a) |
| 9 | "Telecommunication services" means electronic transmission, |
| 0. | conveyance, or routing of voice, data, audio, video, or any other |
| 1 | information or signals to a point, or between or among points. |
| .2 | (b) The term includes a transmission, conveyance, or routing in |
| .3 | which computer processing applications are used to act on the |
| 4 | form, code, or protocol of the content for purposes of transmission, |
| 2.5 | conveyance, or routing regardless of whether the service: |
| 6 | (1) is referred to as voice over Internet protocol services; or |
| 27 | (2) is classified by the Federal Communications Commission |
| 8 | as enhanced or value added. |
| .9 | (c) The term does not include the following: |
| 0 | (1) Data processing and information services that allow data |
| 1 | to be generated, acquired, stored, processed, or retrieved and |
| 3 | delivered by an electronic transmission to a purchaser whose primary purpose for the underlying transaction is the |
| 4 | processed data or information. |
| 5 | (2) Installation or maintenance of wiring or equipment on a |
| 6 | customer's premises. |
| 7 | (3) Tangible personal property. |
| 88 | (4) Advertising, including but not limited to directory |
| 9 | advertising. |
| 10 | (5) Billing and collection services provided to third parties. |
| 1 | (6) Internet access service. |
| 2 | (7) Radio and television audio and video programming |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |



| 1 | services, regardless of the medium, including the furnishing |
|----|---|
| 2 | of transmission, conveyance, and routing of the services by the |
| 3 | programming service provider. Radio and television audio |
| 4 | and video programming services include cable service as |
| 5 | defined in 47 U.S.C. 522(6) and audio and video programming |
| 6 | services delivered by commercial mobile radio service |
| 7 | providers, as defined in 47 CFR 20.3. |
| 8 | (8) Ancillary services. |
| 9 | (9) Digital products delivered electronically, including the |
| 10 | following: |
| 11 | (A) Software. |
| 12 | (B) Music. |
| 13 | (C) Video. |
| 14 | (D) Reading materials. |
| 15 | (E) Ring tones. |
| 16 | SECTION 6. IC 6-2.5-1-29 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE |
| 17 | AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE |
| 18 | JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 29. "Value added non-voice data service" |
| 19 | means a service that otherwise meets the definition of |
| 20 | telecommunications services in which computer processing |
| 21 | applications are used to act on the form, content, code, or protocol |
| 22 | of the information or data primarily for a purpose other than |
| 23 | transmission, conveyance, or routing. |
| 24 | SECTION 7. IC 6-2.5-4-6 IS AMENDED TO READ AS |
| 25 | FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 6. (a) As used in |
| 26 | this section, "telecommunication services" means the transmission of |
| 27 | messages or information by or using wire, cable, fiber optics, laser, |
| 28 | microwave, radio, satellite, or similar facilities. The term does not |
| 29 | include value added services in which computer processing |
| 30 | applications are used to act on the form, content, code, or protocol of |
| 31 | the information for purposes other than transmission. |
| 32 | (b) (a) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction |
| 33 | when the person: |
| 34 | (1) furnishes or sells an intrastate telecommunication service; and |
| 35 | (2) receives gross retail income from billings or statements |
| 36 | rendered to customers. |
| 37 | (c) (b) Notwithstanding subsection (b), (a), a person is not a retail |
| 38 | merchant making a retail transaction when: |
| 39 | (1) the person provides, installs, constructs, services, or removes |
| 40 | tangible personal property which is used in connection with the |
| 41 | furnishing of the telecommunication services described in |



subsection (a);

| 1 | (2) (1) the person furnishes or sells the telecommunication |
|----|---|
| 2 | services described in subsection (a) to another person described |
| 3 | in this section or in section 5 of this chapter; |
| 4 | (3) (2) the person furnishes telecommunications services |
| 5 | described in subsection (a) to another person who is using a |
| 6 | prepaid telephone calling card or prepaid telephone authorization |
| 7 | number providing prepaid calling services or prepaid wireless |
| 8 | calling services in a retail transaction to customers who access |
| 9 | the services through the use of an access or authorization |
| 10 | number or card as described in section 13 of this chapter; or |
| 11 | (4) (3) the person furnishes intrastate mobile telecommunications |
| 12 | wireless service (as defined in IC 6-8.1-15-7) to a customer with |
| 13 | a place of primary use that is not located in Indiana (as |
| 14 | determined under IC 6-8.1-15); or |
| 15 | (4) the person furnishes or sells value added non-voice data |
| 16 | services in a retail transaction to a customer. |
| 17 | (d) (c) Subject to IC 6-2.5-12 and IC 6-8.1-15, and notwithstanding |
| 18 | subsections (a) and (b), and (c), if charges for telecommunication |
| 19 | services, ancillary services, Internet access, audio services, or video |
| 20 | services that are not taxable under this article are aggregated with and |
| 21 | not separately stated from charges subject to taxation under this article, |
| 22 | the charges for nontaxable telecommunication services, ancillary |
| 23 | services, Internet access, audio services, or video services are |
| 24 | subject to taxation unless the service provider can reasonably identify |
| 25 | the charges not subject to the tax from the service provider's books and |
| 26 | records kept in the regular course of business. |
| 27 | SECTION 8. IC 6-2.5-4-16 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE |
| 28 | AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY |
| 29 | 1, 2007]: Sec. 16. (a) This section applies to transactions occurring |
| 30 | after June 30, 2007. |
| 31 | (b) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction |
| 32 | when the person: |
| 33 | (1) leases an aircraft to another person; and |
| 34 | (2) provides flight instruction services to the lessee during the |
| 35 | term of the lease. |
| 36 | (c) The amount of gross retail income attributable to a retail |
| 37 | transaction described in subsection (b) is the amount charged by |
| 38 | the lessor for the lease of the aircraft used in conjunction with the |
| 39 | flight instruction services provided to the lessee. |
| 40 | SECTION 9. IC 6-2.5-8-8 IS AMENDED TO READ AS |

FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 8. (a) A person,

authorized under subsection (b), who makes a purchase in a transaction



41

| which is exempt from the state gross retail and use taxes, may issue are exemption certificate to the seller instead of paying the tax. The person shall issue the certificate on forms and in the manner prescribed by the department. A seller accepting a proper exemption certificate under this section has no duty to collect or remit the state gross retail or use tax on that purchase. (b) The following are the only persons authorized to issue exemption certificates: (1) retail merchants, wholesalers, and manufacturers, who are registered with the department under this chapter; (2) organizations which are exempt from the state gross retail tax under IC 6-2.5-5-21, IC 6-2.5-5-25, or IC 6-2.5-5-26 and which are registered with the department under this chapter; and | 5 |
|--|---|
| (1) retail merchants, wholesalers, and manufacturers, who are registered with the department under this chapter; (2) organizations which are exempt from the state gross retail tax under IC 6-2.5-5-21, IC 6-2.5-5-25, or IC 6-2.5-5-26 and which | exemption certificate to the seller instead of paying the tax. The person shall issue the certificate on forms and in the manner prescribed by the department. A seller accepting a proper exemption certificate under this section has no duty to collect or remit the state gross retail or use tax on that purchase. (b) The following are the only persons authorized to issue |
| registered with the department under this chapter; (2) organizations which are exempt from the state gross retail tax under IC 6-2.5-5-21, IC 6-2.5-5-25, or IC 6-2.5-5-26 and which | exemption certificates: |
| under IC 6-2.5-5-21, IC 6-2.5-5-25, or IC 6-2.5-5-26 and which | |
| are registered with the department under this chapter; and | under IC 6-2.5-5-21, IC 6-2.5-5-25, or IC 6-2.5-5-26 and which |
| | are registered with the department under this chapter; and |

(3) other persons who are exempt from the state gross retail tax with respect to any part of their purchases.

(c) The department may also allow a person to issue a blanket exemption certificate to cover exempt purchases over a stated period of time. The department may impose conditions on the use of the blanket exemption certificate and restrictions on the kind or category of purchases that are exempt.

(d) A seller that accepts an incomplete exemption certificate under subsection (a) is not relieved of the duty to collect gross retail or use tax on the sale unless the seller obtains:

- (1) a fully completed exemption certificate; or
- within ninety (90) days after the sale. (e) If a seller has accepted an incomplete exemption certificate

(2) the relevant data to complete the exemption certificate;

- under subsection (a) and the department requests that the seller substantiate the exemption, within one hundred twenty (120) days after the department makes the request the seller shall:
 - (1) obtain a fully completed exemption certificate; or
 - (2) prove by other means that the transaction was not subject to state gross retail or use tax.

SECTION 10. IC 6-2.5-11-10, AS AMENDED BY P.L.195-2005, SECTION 4, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 10. (a) A certified service provider is the agent of a seller, with whom the certified service provider has contracted, for the collection and remittance of sales and use taxes. As the seller's agent, the certified service provider is liable for sales and use tax due each member state on all sales transactions it processes for the seller except as set out in this section. A seller that contracts with a certified service provider is not liable to the state for sales or use tax



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

due on transactions processed by the certified service provider unless the seller misrepresented the type of items it sells or committed fraud. In the absence of probable cause to believe that the seller has committed fraud or made a material misrepresentation, the seller is not subject to audit on the transactions processed by the certified service provider. A seller is subject to audit for transactions not processed by the certified service provider. The member states acting jointly may perform a system check of the seller and review the seller's procedures to determine if the certified service provider's system is functioning properly and the extent to which the seller's transactions are being processed by the certified service provider.

- (b) A person that provides a certified automated system is responsible for the proper functioning of that system and is liable to the state for underpayments of tax attributable to errors in the functioning of the certified automated system. A seller that uses a certified automated system remains responsible and is liable to the state for reporting and remitting tax.
- (c) A seller that has a proprietary system for determining the amount of tax due on transactions and has signed an agreement establishing a performance standard for that system is liable for the failure of the system to meet the performance standard.
- (d) A certified service provider or a seller using a certified automated system that obtains a certification from the department is not liable for sales or use tax collection errors that result from reliance on the department's certification. If the department determines that an item or transaction is incorrectly classified as to the taxability of the item or transaction, the department shall notify the certified service provider or the seller using a certified automated system of the incorrect classification. The certified service provider or the seller using a certified automated system must revise the incorrect classification within ten (10) days after receiving notice of the determination from the department. If the classification error is not corrected within ten (10) days after receiving the department's notice, the certified service provider or the seller using a certified automated system is liable for failure to collect the correct amount of sales or use tax due and owing.
- (d) (e) The department shall allow any monetary allowances that are provided by the member states to sellers or certified service providers in exchange for collecting the sales and use taxes as provided in article VI of the agreement.

SECTION 11. IC 6-2.5-11-11 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A **NEW** SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS

1 2







| conly to transactions occurring after December 31, 2008. (b) A purchaser is relieved from liability for penalties imposed under IC 6-8.1-10-2.1 for failure to pay the amount of tax due if any of the following occurs: (1) A purchaser's seller or certified service provider relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (b) The taxability matrix. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1,2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
|---|----|---|---|
| (b) A purchaser is relieved from liability for penalties imposed under IC 6-8.1-10-2.1 for failure to pay the amount of tax due if any of the following occurs: (1) A purchaser's seller or certified service provider relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (a) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1,2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| under IC 6-8.1-10-2.1 for failure to pay the amount of tax due if any of the following occurs: (1) A purchaser's seller or certified service provider relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | · | |
| any of the following occurs: (1) A purchaser's seller or certified service provider relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | • • • | |
| (1) A purchaser's seller or certified service provider relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (E) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (E) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (I) The taxability matrix. (I) The taxability matrix. (I) The taxability matrix. (I) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (I) included in the sales price; (2) included from the sales price; (3) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | • • | |
| erroncous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (D) The taxability matrix. (E) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (E) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (E) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]; Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | · | |
| the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition, or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition, or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| 11 (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | - | | |
| (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition, or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| (2) A purchaser with a direct pay permit relied on erroneous data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | | |
| data provided by the department regarding any of the following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | | • | |
| following: (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 13 | | |
| (A) Tax rates. (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 14 | | |
| (B) Boundaries. (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 15 | following: | _ |
| (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 16 | (A) Tax rates. | |
| (D) The taxability matrix. (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 17 | | U |
| (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 18 | (C) Taxing jurisdiction assignments. | |
| matrix provided by the department. (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 19 | (D) The taxability matrix. | |
| (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1,2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 20 | (3) A purchaser relied on erroneous data in the taxability | |
| tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 21 | matrix provided by the department. | |
| or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 22 | (c) The department shall relieve a purchaser from liability for | |
| provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 23 | tax and interest for having failed to pay the correct amount of sales | |
| the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix of terms: (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 24 | or use tax in the circumstances described in subsection (b), | |
| 27 of terms: 28 (1) included as taxable or exempt; 29 (2) included in the sales price; 30 (3) excluded from the sales price; 31 (4) included in a definition; or 32 (5) excluded from a definition. 33 SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA 34 CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS 35 [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 25 | provided that the relief is limited to tax and interest attributable to | |
| (1) included as taxable or exempt; (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 26 | the department's erroneous classification in the taxability matrix | |
| (2) included in the sales price; (3) (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 27 | of terms: | |
| (3) excluded from the sales price; (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 28 | (1) included as taxable or exempt; | V |
| (4) included in a definition; or (5) excluded from a definition. SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 29 | (2) included in the sales price; | |
| 32 (5) excluded from a definition. 33 SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA 34 CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS 35 [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall 36 review software submitted to the governing board for certification 37 as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that 38 the program adequately classifies product based exemptions 39 granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the 40 review, the department shall certify to the governing board the 41 department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 30 | (3) excluded from the sales price; | |
| SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 31 | (4) included in a definition; or | |
| CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1,2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 32 | (5) excluded from a definition. | |
| [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 33 | SECTION 12. IC 6-2.5-11-12 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA | |
| review software submitted to the governing board for certification as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 34 | CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS | |
| as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 35 | [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 12. (a) The department shall | |
| the program adequately classifies product based exemptions granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 36 | review software submitted to the governing board for certification | |
| granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 37 | as a certified automated system. The review is to determine that | |
| granted under IC 6-2.5-5. Upon satisfactory completion of the review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 38 | the program adequately classifies product based exemptions | |
| review, the department shall certify to the governing board the department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 39 | | |
| department's acceptance of the classifications made by the system. | 40 | | |
| · | 41 | | |
| The governing board and the member states are not | 42 | (b) The governing board and the member states are not | |



responsible for classification of an item or transaction within the product based exemptions certified by the department. The relief from liability provided in this section is not available to a certified service provider or Model 2 seller that has incorrectly classified an item or transaction into a product based exemption certified by the department. This subsection does not apply to the individual listing of items or transactions within a product definition approved by the governing board or the member states.

(c) If the department determines that an item or transaction is incorrectly classified as to the taxability of the item or transaction, the department shall notify the certified service provider or Model 2 seller of the incorrect classification. The certified service provider or Model 2 seller must revise the classification within ten (10) days after receiving notice of the determination from the department. If the classification error is not corrected within ten (10) days after receiving the department's notice, the certified service provider or Model 2 seller is liable for failure to collect the correct amount of sales or use tax due and owing.

SECTION 13. IC 6-2.5-12-10 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 10. As used in this chapter, "post paid calling service" means the telecommunications service obtained by making a payment on a call by call basis either through the use of a credit card or payment mechanism such as a bank card, travel card, credit card, or debit card, or by charge made to a telephone number that is not associated with the origination or termination of the telecommunications service. A post paid calling service includes a telecommunications service, except a prepaid wireless calling service, that would be a prepaid calling service except it is not exclusively a telecommunications service.

SECTION 14. IC 6-2.5-12-11.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 11.5. As used in this chapter, "prepaid wireless calling service" means a telecommunications service that provides the right to utilize mobile wireless service as well as other nontelecommunications services, including the download of content, digital products delivered electronically, and ancillary services, which:

- (1) must be paid for in advance; and
- (2) is sold in predetermined units or dollars, the balance of which declines with use in a known amount.

SECTION 15. IC 6-2.5-12-16 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 16. The sale of

1 2







| 1 | the following telecommunications services shall be sourced to each |
|----|---|
| 2 | level of taxing jurisdiction as follows: |
| 3 | (1) A sale of mobile telecommunications services, other than air |
| 4 | to ground radiotelephone service and prepaid calling service, is |
| 5 | sourced to the customer's place of primary use as required by the |
| 6 | Mobile Telecommunications Sourcing Act and IC 6-8.1-15. |
| 7 | (2) A sale of post paid calling service is sourced to the origination |
| 8 | point of the telecommunications signal as first identified by |
| 9 | either: |
| 10 | (A) the seller's telecommunications system; or |
| 11 | (B) information received by the seller from its service |
| 12 | provider, where the system used to transport such signals is |
| 13 | not that of the seller. |
| 14 | (3) A sale of prepaid calling service or a sale of prepaid wireless |
| 15 | calling service is sourced in the following manner: |
| 16 | (A) When the service is received by the purchaser at a |
| 17 | business location of the seller, the sale is sourced to that |
| 18 | business location. |
| 19 | (B) When the service is not received by the purchaser at a |
| 20 | business location of the seller, the sale is sourced to the |
| 21 | location where receipt by the purchaser (or the purchaser's |
| 22 | donee, designated as such by the purchaser) occurs, including |
| 23 | the location indicated by instructions for delivery to the |
| 24 | purchaser (or donee), known to the seller. |
| 25 | (C) When clauses (A) and (B) do not apply, the sale is sourced |
| 26 | to the location indicated by an address for the purchaser that |
| 27 | is available from the business records of the seller that are |
| 28 | maintained in the ordinary course of the seller's business when |
| 29 | use of this address does not constitute bad faith. |
| 30 | (D) When clauses (A) through (C) do not apply, the sale is |
| 31 | sourced to the location indicated by an address for the |
| 32 | purchaser obtained during the consummation of the sale, |
| 33 | including the address of a purchaser's payment instrument, if |
| 34 | no other address is available, when use of this address does not |
| 35 | constitute bad faith. |
| 36 | (E) When clauses (A) through (D) do not apply, including the |
| 37 | circumstance in which the seller is without sufficient |
| 38 | information to apply the previous clauses, the location will be |
| 39 | determined by either: |
| 10 | (i) the address from which tangible personal property was |
| 11 | shipped, from which any digital good or computer software |
| 12 | delivered electronically was first available for transmission |



| 1 | by the seller, or from which the service was provided |
|----|---|
| 2 | (disregarding for these purposes any location that merely |
| 3 | provided the digital transfer of the product sold); or |
| 4 | (ii) in the case of a sale of mobile telecommunications |
| 5 | service that is a prepaid telecommunications service, the |
| 6 | location associated with the mobile telephone number. |
| 7 | (4) A sale of a private communications service is sourced as |
| 8 | follows: |
| 9 | (A) Service for a separate charge related to a customer channel |
| 10 | termination point is sourced to each level of jurisdiction in |
| 11 | which such customer channel termination point is located. |
| 12 | (B) Service where all customer termination points are located |
| 13 | entirely within one (1) jurisdiction or level of jurisdiction is |
| 14 | sourced in such jurisdiction in which the customer channel |
| 15 | termination points are located. |
| 16 | (C) Service for segments of a channel between two (2) |
| 17 | customer channel termination points located in different |
| 18 | jurisdictions and which segments of channel are separately |
| 19 | charged is sourced fifty percent (50%) in each level of |
| 20 | jurisdiction in which the customer channel termination points |
| 21 | are located. |
| 22 | (D) Service for segments of a channel located in more than |
| 23 | one (1) jurisdiction or level of jurisdiction and which segments |
| 24 | are not separately billed is sourced in each jurisdiction based |
| 25 | on the percentage determined by dividing the number of |
| 26 | customer channel termination points in such jurisdiction by the |
| 27 | total number of customer channel termination points. |
| 28 | SECTION 16. IC 6-2.5-13-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.153-2006, |
| 29 | SECTION 6, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE |
| 30 | JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 1. (a) As used in this section, the terms |
| 31 | "receive" and "receipt" mean: |
| 32 | (1) taking possession of tangible personal property; |
| 33 | (2) making first use of services; or |
| 34 | (3) taking possession or making first use of digital goods; |
| 35 | whichever comes first. The terms "receive" and "receipt" do not include |
| 36 | possession by a shipping company on behalf of the purchaser. |
| 37 | (b) This section: |
| 38 | (1) applies regardless of the characterization of a product as |
| 39 | tangible personal property, a digital good, or a service; |
| 40 | (2) applies only to the determination of a seller's obligation to pay |
| 41 | or collect and remit a sales or use tax with respect to the seller's |



retail sale of a product; and

| 1 | (3) does not affect the obligation of a purchaser or lessee to remit |
|----|--|
| 2 | tax on the use of the product to the taxing jurisdictions of that use. |
| 3 | (c) This section does not apply to sales or use taxes levied on the |
| 4 | following: |
| 5 | (1) The retail sale or transfer of watercraft, modular homes, |
| 6 | manufactured homes, or mobile homes. These items must be |
| 7 | sourced according to the requirements of this article. |
| 8 | (2) The retail sale, excluding lease or rental, of motor vehicles, |
| 9 | trailers, semitrailers, or aircraft that do not qualify as |
| 10 | transportation equipment, as defined in subsection (g). The retail |
| 11 | sale of these items shall be sourced according to the requirements |
| 12 | of this article, and the lease or rental of these items must be |
| 13 | sourced according to subsection (f). |
| 14 | (3) Telecommunications services, as set forth in IC 6-2.5-12, |
| 15 | ancillary services, and Internet access service shall be sourced |
| 16 | in accordance with IC 6-2.5-12. |
| 17 | (d) The retail sale, excluding lease or rental, of a product shall be |
| 18 | sourced as follows: |
| 19 | (1) When the product is received by the purchaser at a business |
| 20 | location of the seller, the sale is sourced to that business location. |
| 21 | (2) When the product is not received by the purchaser at a |
| 22 | business location of the seller, the sale is sourced to the location |
| 23 | where receipt by the purchaser (or the purchaser's donee, |
| 24 | designated as such by the purchaser) occurs, including the |
| 25 | location indicated by instructions for delivery to the purchaser (or |
| 26 | donee), known to the seller. |
| 27 | (3) When subdivisions (1) and (2) do not apply, the sale is |
| 28 | sourced to the location indicated by an address for the purchaser |
| 29 | that is available from the business records of the seller that are |
| 30 | maintained in the ordinary course of the seller's business when |
| 31 | use of this address does not constitute bad faith. |
| 32 | (4) When subdivisions (1), (2), and (3) do not apply, the sale is |
| 33 | sourced to the location indicated by an address for the purchaser |
| 34 | obtained during the consummation of the sale, including the |
| 35 | address of a purchaser's payment instrument, if no other address |
| 36 | is available, when use of this address does not constitute bad |
| 37 | faith. |
| 38 | (5) When none of the previous rules of subdivision (1), (2), (3), |
| 39 | or (4) apply, including the circumstance in which the seller is |
| 40 | without sufficient information to apply the previous rules, then the |
| 41 | location will be determined by the address from which tangible |
| 42 | personal property was shipped, from which the digital good or the |



| 1 | computer software delivered electronically was first available for | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | transmission by the seller, or from which the service was provided | |
| 3 | (disregarding for these purposes any location that merely provided | |
| 4 | the digital transfer of the product sold). | |
| 5 | (e) The lease or rental of tangible personal property, other than | |
| 6 | property identified in subsection (f) or (g), shall be sourced as follows: | |
| 7 | (1) For a lease or rental that requires recurring periodic payments, | |
| 8 | the first periodic payment is sourced the same as a retail sale in | |
| 9 | accordance with the provisions of subsection (d). Periodic | |
| 10 | payments made subsequent to the first payment are sourced to the | |
| 11 | primary property location for each period covered by the payment. | 1 |
| 12 | The primary property location shall be as indicated by an address | |
| 13 | for the property provided by the lessee that is available to the | |
| 14 | lessor from its records maintained in the ordinary course of | |
| 15 | business, when use of this address does not constitute bad faith. | |
| 16 | The property location shall not be altered by intermittent use at | 1 |
| 17 | different locations, such as use of business property that | , |
| 18 | accompanies employees on business trips and service calls. | |
| 19 | (2) For a lease or rental that does not require recurring periodic | |
| 20 | payments, the payment is sourced the same as a retail sale in | |
| 21 | accordance with the provisions of subsection (d). | |
| 22 | This subsection does not affect the imposition or computation of sales | |
| 23 | or use tax on leases or rentals based on a lump sum or an accelerated | |
| 24 | basis, or on the acquisition of property for lease. | |
| 25 | (f) The lease or rental of motor vehicles, trailers, semitrailers, or | |
| 26 | aircraft that do not qualify as transportation equipment, as defined in | |
| 27 | subsection (g), shall be sourced as follows: | 1 |
| 28 | (1) For a lease or rental that requires recurring periodic payments, | |
| 29 | each periodic payment is sourced to the primary property location. | ١ |
| 30 | The primary property location shall be as indicated by an address | |
| 31 | for the property provided by the lessee that is available to the | |
| 32 | lessor from its records maintained in the ordinary course of | |
| 33 | business, when use of this address does not constitute bad faith. | |
| 34 | This location shall not be altered by intermittent use at different | |
| 35 | locations. | |
| 36 | (2) For a lease or rental that does not require recurring periodic | |
| 37 | payments, the payment is sourced the same as a retail sale in | |
| 38 | accordance with the provisions of subsection (d). | |
| 39 | This subsection does not affect the imposition or computation of sales | |
| 40 | or use tax on leases or rentals based on a lump sum or accelerated | |
| 41 | basis, or on the acquisition of property for lease. | |

(g) The retail sale, including lease or rental, of transportation



| 1 | equipment shall be sourced the same as a retail sale in accordance with |
|----|--|
| 2 | the provisions of subsection (d), notwithstanding the exclusion of lease |
| 3 | or rental in subsection (d). As used in this subsection, "transportation |
| 4 | equipment" means any of the following: |
| 5 | (1) Locomotives and railcars that are used for the carriage of |
| 6 | persons or property in interstate commerce. |
| 7 | (2) Trucks and truck-tractors with a gross vehicle weight rating |
| 8 | (GVWR) of ten thousand one (10,001) pounds or greater, trailers, |
| 9 | semitrailers, or passenger buses that are: |
| 10 | (A) registered through the International Registration Plan; and |
| 11 | (B) operated under authority of a carrier authorized and |
| 12 | certificated by the U.S. Department of Transportation or |
| 13 | another federal authority to engage in the carriage of persons |
| 14 | or property in interstate commerce. |
| 15 | (3) Aircraft that are operated by air carriers authorized and |
| 16 | certificated by the U.S. Department of Transportation or another |
| 17 | federal or a foreign authority to engage in the carriage of persons |
| 18 | or property in interstate or foreign commerce. |
| 19 | (4) Containers designed for use on and component parts attached |
| 20 | or secured on the items set forth in subdivisions (1) through (3). |
| 21 | (h) This subsection applies to retail sales of floral products that |
| 22 | occur before January 1, 2008. Notwithstanding subsection (d), a retail |
| 23 | sale of floral products in which a florist or floral business: |
| 24 | (1) takes a floral order from a purchaser; and |
| 25 | (2) transmits the floral order by telegraph, telephone, or other |
| 26 | means of communication to another florist or floral business for |
| 27 | delivery; |
| 28 | is sourced to the location of the florist or floral business that originally |
| 29 | takes the floral order from the purchaser. |
| 30 | SECTION 17. IC 6-2.5-13-2 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE UPON |
| 31 | PASSAGE]. |
| 32 | SECTION 18. [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007] (a) The governor and |
| 33 | the commissioner of the department of state revenue shall |
| 34 | investigate the steps necessary for Indiana to become a member of |
| 35 | the Multistate Tax Commission (444 North Capital Street, NW, |
| 36 | Suite 425, Washington, DC 20001-1538) and the Multistate Tax |
| 37 | Compact. |
| 38 | (b) Not later than September 1, 2007, the commissioner of the |
| 39 | department of state revenue shall submit a report to the general |
| 40 | assembly in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6: |
| 41 | (1) summarizing the statutory changes and appropriations |

necessary to participate as a full member of the Multistate



| Tax Commission and the Multistate Tax Compact; (2) identifying what levels of membership, other than full membership, are available; and (3) making a recommendation on whether Indiana should become a member of the Multistate Tax Commission and the Multistate Compact. SECTION 19. An emergency is declared for this act. | |
|---|---|
| | C |
| | 0 |
| | p |
| | |



COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Tax and Fiscal Policy, to which was referred Senate Bill No. 502, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill be AMENDED as follows:

Page 3, line 18, strike "or".

Page 3, line 22, delete "IC 6-8.1-15)." and insert "IC 6-8.1-15); or

- (4) the person furnishes or sells telecommunications services to another person that include a transmission, conveyance, or routing in which computer processing applications are used to act on the form, code, or protocol of the content for purposes of transmission, conveyance, or routing, regardless of whether the telecommunications services are:
 - (A) referred to as a voice over Internet protocol service; or (B) classified by the Federal Communications Commission as enhanced or value added.".

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 502 as introduced.)

KENLEY, Chairperson

Committee Vote: Yeas 9, Nays 1.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Ways and Means, to which was referred Senate Bill 502, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Delete the title and insert the following:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning taxation and to make an appropriation.

Page 3, between lines 39 and 40, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 4. IC 6-2.5-4-16 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 16. (a) This section applies to transactions occurring after June 30, 2007.

- (b) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when the person:
 - (1) leases an aircraft to another person; and

ES 502—LS 7764/DI 113+

C







- (2) provides flight instruction services to the lessee during the term of the lease.
- (c) The amount of gross retail income attributable to a retail transaction described in subsection (b) is the amount charged by the lessor for the lease of the aircraft used in conjunction with the flight instruction services provided to the lessee.".

Page 12, between lines 31 and 32, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 14. [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007] (a) The governor and the commissioner of the department of state revenue shall take the steps necessary for Indiana to become a member of the Multistate Tax Commission (444 North Capital Street, NW, Suite 425, Washington, DC 20001-1538) and the Multistate Tax Compact. As provided in the Multistate Tax Compact, the commissioner of the department of state revenue or the commissioner's designee may serve as a member of the Multistate Tax Commission.

- (b) The governor may appoint an advisory board of elected officials from Indiana's political subdivisions to advise the commissioner on matters related to the Multistate Tax Compact. Not more than the smallest possible majority of the members of the advisory group may be members of the same political party.
- (c) There is appropriated the amount necessary to the department of state revenue from the state general fund to pay the dues and costs related to becoming a member of the Multistate Tax Commission, beginning July 1, 2007, and ending June 30, 2009.
- (d) Not later than September 1, 2007, the commissioner of the department of state revenue shall submit a report to the general assembly in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6 identifying the statutory changes and appropriations necessary to participate as a full member of the Multistate Tax Commission and the Multistate Tax Compact."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 502 as printed January 30, 2007.)

CRAWFORD, Chair

Committee Vote: yeas 19, nays 0.











HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Engrossed Senate Bill 502 be amended to read as follows:

Replace the effective dates in SECTIONS 1 through 2 with "[EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]".

Page 1, between lines 9 and 10, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 2. IC 6-2.5-1-20.3 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 20.3. "Intrastate telecommunications service" means a telecommunications service that originates in a particular state, territory, or possession of the United States and terminates in that same state, territory, or possession.

SECTION 3. IC 6-2.5-1-22.3 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A **NEW** SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: **Sec. 22.3. "Prepaid calling service" has the meaning set forth in IC 6-2.5-12-11.**

SECTION 4. IC 6-2.5-1-22.4 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A **NEW** SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: **Sec. 22.4.** "**Prepaid wireless calling service**" means a telecommunications service that:

- (1) provides the right to use mobile wireless service as well as other non-telecommunications services, including:
 - (A) the download of digital products delivered electronically; and
 - (B) content and ancillary services;
- (2) must be paid for in advance; and
- (3) is sold in predetermined units or dollars of which the number declines with use in a known amount.".

Page 2, line 13, delete "customer's" and insert "customer's".

Page 2, between lines 34 and 35, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 6. IC 6-2.5-1-29 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008]: Sec. 29. "Value added non-voice data service" means a service that otherwise meets the definition of telecommunications services in which computer processing applications are used to act on the form, content, code, or protocol of the information or data primarily for a purpose other than transmission, conveyance, or routing."

Page 3, line 16, strike "using a".

Page 3, strike line 17.

Page 3, line 18, strike "number" and insert "providing prepaid

ES 502—LS 7764/DI 113+

C







calling services or prepaid wireless calling services in a retail transaction to customers who access the services through the use of an access or authorization number or card as".

Page 3, line 19, strike "telecommunications" and insert "wireless". Page 3, line 23, delete "telecommunications services" and insert "value added non-voice data services in a retail transaction to a customer.".

Page 3, delete lines 24 through 31.

Page 3, line 34, after "services" insert ", ancillary services, Internet access, audio services, or video services that are".

Page 3, line 36, after "services" insert ", ancillary services, Internet access, audio services, or video services".

Page 6, line 19, delete "purchaser's" and insert "purchaser's".

Page 6, line 39, delete "department's" and insert "department's".

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to ESB 502 as printed March 13, 2007.)

KUZMAN

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Engrossed Senate Bill 502 be amended to read as follows:

Page 13, line 4, delete "take" and insert "investigate".

Page 13, line 7, delete "As".

Page 13, delete lines 8 through 19.

Page 13, line 20, delete "(d)" and insert "(b)".

Page 13, line 22, delete "IC 5-14-6 identifying" and insert "IC 5-14-6:

(1) summarizing".

Page 13, line 25, delete "Compact." and insert "Compact;

- (2) identifying what levels of membership, other than full membership, are available; and
- (3) making a recommendation on whether Indiana should become a member of the Multistate Tax Commission and the Multistate Compact.".

(Reference is to ESB 502 as printed March 13, 2007.)

ESPICH









